

Click Here





























part of the novel. The doctor's full name is Juvenal Urbino de la Calle, with a capital C. There are also many secondary characters whose presences contribute to the changes the main characters go through. Aunt Escalastica is a loving, limeratic spinster who raises Fermina as if she were her own until the day her brother realizes that she is responsible for letting them come close to his daughter. The first time she sees her, she is penniless, back to San Juan de la Calle, where she lives with her mother. She is a rich farm girl whose parents are against her love for Lorenzo Daza. Lorenzo Daza's wife gives birth to a girl called Fermina, like her mother. After his wife's death, Lorenzo, his daughter, and his sister Escalastica, move to the coastal city of Cartagena de Indias. Although lacking social skills, Lorenzo Daza buys and remodels an old colonial house in the Park of the Evangelists. A strong disciplinarian, he rules his house with an iron fist. He lacks the company of friends, enjoys drinking, and gets involved in illegal business. Among the long list of 622 lovers are several worth mentioning, including Leona Cassiani, Sara Noriega, Olimpia Zuleta, Prudencia Pitre, Angeles Alfaro, and Ausencia Santander. Leona Cassiani represents, by far, the longest of the 622 affairs. Sara Noriega, who is overweight but happy, can be best described as a "Fat Venus." She is particularly important as a lover because she is Florentino's projection as a poet. He stays with her for several years, and records in his diary that he loved her. She is forty years old, ten years older than Florentino, yet she cannot climax unless she sucks on an infant's pacifier (238). Olimpia Zuleta is among the shortest-lived affairs. She is a married woman whom it took Florentino six months to seduce. Olimpia finally gives in to desire and goes to bed with him, on a beautiful afternoon in one of his riverboats. However, an obscenly written by Florentino on her belly causes the story to end in tragedy. That same night, when Olimpia goes to bed, "having forgotten what was scrawled there," she undresses in front of her husband, who, with a single slash of his razor, cuts her throat (263). Prudencia Pitre is a widow like many of the women whom Florentino recorded in his diary. However, Prudencia Pitre is known as the Widow of Two because she has outlived two husbands. Like all his lovers, Angeles Alfaro, a music teacher whom Florentino describes in his diary as "the ephemeral one," teaches Florentino something about love. He records that with her (although he had already experienced this before), "one can be in love with several people at the same time, feel the same sorrow with each, and not betray any of them" (328). Ausencia Santander, like many of the female characters in *Love in the Time of Cholera*, displays a total independence regarding her sexuality. It is unclear, says the narrator, whether her husband left her or she left him. Hers was a conventional marriage. They had three children, the children got married, and she began to see men at her own desire. Besides teaching him about love, all his lovers, argues Florentino Ariza, contributed to his need for being loved, for understanding love itself. Florentino's long list of lovers seems to contribute more to Love in the Time of Cholera than the character development of Fermina's son and daughter; Marco Aurelio Urbino Daza and Ofelia Urbino Daza. THEMATIC ISSUES It may seem obvious that love is the central theme in *Love in the Time of Cholera*. However, the theme of love in this novel is multifaceted; it can be looked at from different perspectives. Among other thematic possibilities are the evils of a socially divided city and the implicit acts of reading and writing. All of these themes, however, are intertwined and, as a result, it is difficult to separate one from the other. The theme of love, however, is the only one that encompasses them all, and it is therefore examined in the most detail. The impetuous, idealistic, strong, and youthful love between Florentino and Fermina is totally platonic. It starts with a look, which soon turns into a gaze. Theirs is certainly the "look of love." Florentino, at that time an apprentice at the Postal Agency, takes a telegram to Lorenzo Daza's home address and sees the young girl reading. Fermina raises her eyes to see who is coming "and that casual glance was the beginning of a cataclysm of love that had not ended half a century later" (68). Florentino's love is awakened first. When it starts, he is content to sit in the park by her house, to see her walk by four times a day, always in the company of her aunt, Escalastica. As Florentino feels his love grow stronger, he moves from the passive mode of seeing to a more active mode, that of writing. To declare his love to her, he writes a sixty-page letter using both sides of each page. This starts an epistolary love affair of immeasurable frequency and intensity. It is precisely the letter writing, and not the physical encounters, that makes their love possible. In fact, over a three-year period they only have the opportunity to talk three times. The first time is an afternoon at the end of January when Florentino wants to give Fermina a letter but she rejects it, arguing that she does not have her father's permission. The second time is a week later, when she accepts the letter and they exchange only a few words. The third time is to receive an answer to his letter; since she has none, he insists, saying that it is a lack of courtesy to accept a letter and not to answer it. That is the last time they talk for over fifty years. Their epistolary is all they have and it is what causes her expulsion from her school. Fermina's father tries to convince her that love at her age is an illusion; he wants her to beg for forgiveness to get back into the Academy and offers her help finding happiness with a worthy suitor (99). However, Fermina, as her name implies, remains firm in her love for Florentino and does not give up. In despair, after talking with Florentino, who has also decided not to give up, Lorenzo Daza decides to take his daughter away to make her forget. They go back to the small town where they came from, San Juan de la Ciénaga. Paradoxically, although their postal contact does not stop while she is away, a love that seemed eternal crumbles when she, once again, sees him. After coming back from her trip, Fermina writes Florentino a two-line letter asking him to please "forget it" (126). Another facet of love, no less interesting than the first, is that of love between the married couple, Fermina Daza and Dr. Juvenal Urbino. Although the omniscient narrator suggests that Fermina married for convenience, there are ample suggestions that this sentiment changes over time. She enjoys her wedding trip, lovemaking, and living in Paris. After sixteen months, upon returning to Cartagena de Indias pregnant with her first child, she feels like "the happiest woman on earth" (194). After some years, the couple goes back to Europe to renew a love that was beginning to decay because of the druggeries and sameness of the daily routine. As a result of this second honeymoon, Fermina comes back pregnant once again. The instances of love that she shows for her husband are many, particularly the gestures of love in their old age. In the last few minutes before his death, the omniscient narrator discloses that between them there was indeed a true love, a love that Dr. Juvenal Urbino seemed to realize he had failed to communicate to her, when he speaks his last words, "only God knows how much I loved you" (56). Dr. Urbino is more a spiritual man than a physical one. He loves Fermina conceptually, for being his wife and the mother of his children, rather than for being the woman she is. He is rather incapable of looking at sex without pondering the scientific insight regarding how the human body functions. His moral and religious values do not allow him to be a good lover, at home or elsewhere. With the sensuous and sexual, young, beautiful Miss Lynch, the sex act becomes comical but sad. He spends the exact amount of time needed to give an injection during a routine visit (298). However, for Fermina, being conceptually happy is not enough. After returning from the honeymoon happy and remaining so for six years (until they move to their own house), she feels like a prisoner in a strange house and, even worse, that she is with a man who was not her dream (249). During that time, Fermina comes to believe that behind the professional authority and worldly charm of her husband there is a hopeless weakling (250). When they move into their own house, things are not much different. While she is loved, catered to, and even feared in public, at home she feels like a deluxe servant, not a loved wife (268). While discussing this second facet of love—the love between Fermina and Dr. Urbino—it is worth noting what Fermina feels for Florentino. Although she represses any feeling toward him, the narrator reveals that she often thinks of him. During the fifty-one years while she is married to Juvenal Urbino, she thinks of Florentino with compassion and nostalgia; she even feels tormented by guilt (247). The third facet of love is described in the last chapter of the novel. Fermina is now seventy-two years old and Florentino is seventy-seven. He feels he has the right to make up for lost time and on the very day of her husband's burial, he expresses once again his vow of everlasting love, but again she rejects him. Although Florentino and Fermina are now an elderly couple, their ability to deal with love seems unchanged. Once again, the means of bringing them together is letter writing, which is how they express their feelings. This time it is Fermina who writes first, sending a three-page letter full of insults. Over the following year, Florentino writes her 132 letters. He starts writing once a week, then twice a week, and then every day. While Fermina does not answer any of the letters, she keeps them to find solace and to reflect upon Florentino's writing. On the first anniversary of her husband's death, Florentino attends the memorial mass, without being invited. This is his chance to talk to Fermina again. She greets him and thanks him for coming. Two weeks later Florentino comes to visit her. Although he is uninvited and unannounced, she receives him, nevertheless. Hereafter, their Tuesday visits are as frequent and consoling as the letters, and they become great friends. Fermina's son approves of their relationship, but her daughter does not. Ofelia tenaciously opposes, arguing that love at their age "is revolting" (392). Neither Ofelia, the rumor of Florentino's homosexuality, nor anything else convinces Fermina to stop seeing him. Instead, she accepts Florentino's invitation to go on a riverboat cruise along the Magdalena River. Playfully, Garcia Marquez gives the boat the name New Fidelity. The couple's unstoppable drive to be together is finally realized. A different facet of love that the novel brings to the reader's attention is unfaithfulness. Violating the marriage vows, Dr. Juvenal Urbino embarks on an extramarital affair. Contrary to his impeccable correctness at home, in public, and in his profession, Dr. Urbino breaks social and racial codes and, after thirty years of marriage, falls in love with Barbara Lynch. Thirty years younger, the beautiful twenty-eight-year old *mulatta* causes the marriage to crumble. Dr. Urbino's desire for Ba rbara Lynch is out of control. He thinks of her all day and, incapable of stopping his passion, he feels the torment of guilt. After six months he ends the affair, but Fermina leaves him and stays away for two years. Florentino Ariza enjoys yet another kind of love, if, indeed, promiscuous affairs with over 600 women can be described as a form of love. As a kind of hunter, Florentino engages in casual love. Florentino responds to raw desire. His sex partners are simply outlets to appease his desire and ward off his desperate solitude. Regardless, however, he feels they all teach him something. The second theme in *Love in the Time of Cholera* is the division of classes in society. The difference between rich and poor in the novel is remarkable. Although the novel does not suggest the existence of any turmoil or open conflict between the different social classes, the disparities are obvious to the reader. The novel makes up the balance of the classes and represents the majority: Chinese immigrants, blacks, mulattos, and Indians, many of whom live in abject poverty. A good number of these people work as slave-like servants in the households of the wealthy. The novel repeatedly takes notice of the differences between the rich and the poor. While the rich live in the ancestral homes in the district of the Viceroys and the residential district of La Manga, the aspiring middle classes live elsewhere. The poor live in a section of the city where the landscape includes pestilence, unnumbered houses, loud music, and children running around nude—a part of the city not surprisingly known as the old slave quarter—which is a death trap for the poor (23). The rich in the novel attend lavish parties. They accompany their celebrations and dances with string quartets, bands, and orchestras playing music by Mozart and Schubert. Although the poor are seen everywhere, the rich do not mingle with them. Upward mobility can be achieved through economic success, but entrance to social clubs of the elite is reserved for legitimate descendants, born into families with an ancestral name. The marked stratification of class is observed everywhere. At the cathedral, for example, the first few pews are reserved for their lifetime owners, whose names are engraved on copper nameplates on the back of the seats (360). The rest of the congregation can sit elsewhere; however, the poorest, being mostly mulattos and blacks, must sit in the back. The novel also suggests that the boundary between rich and poor is not insurmountable. It is interesting to note that Lorenzo Daza, although a plebeian by birth, changes his fortune in life, as well as that of his daughter, Fermina, who because of her manners seems to define a new type of societal class, is the product of a well-thought-out, well-executed plan. First, Lorenzo Daza moves from the countryside to the coastal city to provide his daughter Fermina with the formal instruction she would need. He registers her in a religious school for rich girls. Then he manages to marry her to Dr. Juvenal Urbino. With this marriage, Fermina enters a social and economic world totally different from her own—a world she is not prepared to move into. Upon her return from her honeymoon and for six consecutive, painful, and hateful years, Fermina undergoes the "training" that her mother-in-law puts her through. While she learns and adapts to her new social class, she never fully abandons her roots, and she maintains her spontaneity, her love for nature, and a touch of crudeness in her speech. Florentino Ariza is another example of someone who successfully changes his lot in life. Unlike Fermina's change of fate, his is the result of a decision of his own, made, not for upward mobility, but to make him worthy of Fermina's love. For thirty years, he works at all types of jobs within the River Company of the Caribbean, ending up as president of the Board of Directors, general manager, and, eventually, owner. He restores his house to reflect his new social economic status but also to be prepared to be worthy of Fermina Daza when his next opportunity comes along. Another salient aspect on the theme of class division is the incorporation of mulattoes into different subplots within the novel. Jeremiah Saint-Love, the mulatto who kills himself at the outset of *Love in the Time of Cholera*, is Dr. Juvenal Urbino's friend. Two mulattas presented in the novel are alternately treated both as object and subject. Barbara Lynch, some may argue, is the object of an elderly, powerful man who wants her for sexual favors. However, Dr. Urbino also expresses love for her. Leona Cassiani, also a mulatta, goes to all public functions with Florentino Ariza. She gains the respect of those with whom she works at the River Company of the Caribbean and moves into the highest ranks of the company. Florentino falls in love with her but she rejects him. In spite of this, however, they remain good friends. An interesting aspect of the theme of a socially divided society is that it appears to be deteriorating. Fermina Daza, Florentino Ariza, and Leona Cassiani seem to signal a change in the social order, and to offer the possibility of upward mobility. The old, rich, aristocratic, and insulated world of the elite, the highest social level (represented by families like the Urbinos de la Calle), is disappearing. Although the instances are many, the reader notices that the actions of Dr. Juvenal Urbino de la Calle seem to mark the loudest statement of this change. First, he marries a woman outside his social class. Second, he moves from his former palace of the Marquis de Casaldueiro to a new house in a neighborhood of the nouveaux riches (the new rich). Third, and probably most significant, his family name will no doubt die with his children. His children, says the narrator, were two undistinguished ends of a line. His son, Marco Aurelio, continues the narrative, has done nothing worthy of note—he has not even produced a child. His daughter, Ofelia, has three daughters but no sons. Thus, the name, the tradition, and the old social order symbolically die with Dr. Urbino's children. SOCIAL AND HISTORICAL CONTEXT The reader may have the feeling that this is just a strange love story, but it is far more: the civil unrest, superstition, civil wars, disappearance of a colonial power, and birth of a new middle class that surround the love story are of significance in themselves. Garcia Marquez goes to considerable efforts to document the historical setting of this novel. He uses actual historical figures such as the president of Colombia at the time of the tale, Rafael Nunez, a statesman and writer born in Cartagena de Indias (a favored physical setting of Garcia Marquez's work), and several liberal generals in the Colombian armed forces, including Ricardo Gaitan Obeso, who, in fact, fought against the government of President Rafael Nunez. President Rafael Nunez and General Gaitan Obeso represent the two great opposing political forces in Colombia's government and in Garcia Marquez's writing. The president was a member of the Conservative Party and the general was in the Liberal Party. The setting of *Love in the Time of Cholera* also includes, although as mere references, actual historical events such as the War of a Thousand Days and the massacre of striking banana workers in 1928. Love in the Time of Cholera, on a much smaller scale than One Hundred Years of Solitude, is concerned with the Colombian civil wars of the last part of the nineteenth century and the violence of the first two decades of the twentieth century. These historical and political concerns, however, are passed unnoticed by the reader because that indeed is the intent. If One Hundred Years of Solitude disguises these concerns through the uses of myth, fantasy, hyperbole, and magic realism, *Love in the Time of Cholera* disguises them through its depiction of a long, sometimes expiating, love affair. However, the cholera that appears like a sign in the title of the novel is, in fact, a bad omen and can be seen as a symbol of the historical violence that Colombia continues to undergo. The superabundance of information in *Love in the Time of Cholera* will go unnoticed unless the reader is inquisitive and meticulous. For example, when Garcia Marquez describes Fermina's bird, he says that it was bought right before the last civil war based on a rumor of an upcoming visit by the Pope. The government spread the rumor to scare the liberals. The reader, on the one hand, has to understand that the concept of a civil war is used to describe the ongoing political wars of liberals against conservatives that lasted through the 1960s; and, on the other hand, that the papal visit is indeed fictional, for no Pope ever visited Colombia until 1973. If the reader pays attention to references like this, then the novel can be seen to denounce what the government wanted to hide: the killings of people who appeared floating in the Magdalena River. There are allusions of discontent against the conservative government throughout the novel. Even the parrot, the indirect cause of Dr. Juvenal Urbino's death, shouts, "long live the Liberal Party damn it, a reckless cry that had cost many a carefree drunk his life" (33). The time frame of the narrative pays close attention to a bygone era, some readers may say, and pays no attention to the violence that Colombia was undergoing in the mid-1980s, when *Love in the Time of Cholera* was published. There are readers, though, who may see the many references to violence, political turmoil, corruption, and the devastation of nature, along with the cholera in the title, as a way of pointing out that violence is a constant element of both social and political life in Colombia. If love in the Time of Cholera were to be seen as irresponsible for not dealing with the oppression, violence, and the social and economic disparities that Garcia Marquez is known to denounce, then the reader would still have to consider the treatment that Garcia Marquez gives to love in this novel. Love in the novel is not carefree, easy flowing, spontaneous, and idealized. Although Florentino idealizes Fermina and the love he feels for her, everything around him is hostile. The narrative does not make life easy for an illegitimate child, Florentino, just because he is in love. As literary critic Jose Luis Mendez writes, the social conventions, the economic ambitions, the ideological and political prejudices, and even the twisted understanding of patriotism, interfere with everyday life and the way the characters love and make love (Mendez 196). While it is true that love triumphs in the novel, Garcia Marquez is not providing a model where love escapes social and biological laws, but rather the opposite. Love in the Time of Cholera refuses to accept the conventional time frame for falling in love and ignores the limitations thought to be imposed by aging; it rejects the fact that prestige and social rank must, in the end, destroy love, but furnishes the narration with the social and economic components that interfere with the love between Florentino and Fermina and between Dr. Juvenal Urbino and Fermina. Florentino has to undergo the transitional changes both socially and economically that make him deserving of Fermina's love, and Fermina has to learn the manners of the social group that she marries into when she marries Dr. Juvenal Urbino. The comparison between One Hundred Years of Solitude and *Love in the Time of Cholera* observed by many readers and critics is perhaps inevitable. Almost everything Garcia Marquez has written since the publication of One Hundred Years of Solitude in 1967 is compared to it. One of the best comparisons is that of sociologist and literary critic José Luis Me'ndez who points out that in One Hundred Years of Solitude there is no hope for starting anew, but in *Love in the Time of Cholera* there is hope for salvation through the power of love. The universe described by the narrative voice in One Hundred Years of Solitude is, in the end, completely destroyed "because races condemned to One Hundred Years of Solitude did not have a second chance on earth," as the narrator explains in the closing paragraph of the novel (448). In *Love in the Time of Cholera*, however, in the end, the characters that inhabit the novel do not perish. The novel does not end with the total destruction of the universe it has created. A second chance on earth, which was denied to the characters of One Hundred Years of Solitude, is given to those who love in *Love in the Time of Cholera*. Love is seen as the redeeming force that saves both humanity and its history. Love, then, appears as a driving force that defies everything. As if in biblical terms, the narrator seems to state that it is not yet too late to stop the end of humanity and to reach out for justice and happiness. However, there is no naive idealism in the narrative voice of the novel. Nothing is taken for granted, and the narrator is ready to remind us that the world around the characters of *Love in the Time of Cholera* is too oppressive to ignore. That is why the riverboat in which Fermina and Florentino travel, although utopian in its intent, sports a flag signaling cholera and cannot find a secure port to dock. The novel ends with the reader wondering if Fermina and Florentino will ever be able to come ashore and exercise their second chance. Love, to Garcia Marquez, is a kind of philosophical tool, a way of looking at the world. As the sociologist and literary critic Jose Luis Mendez pointed out, Garcia Marquez expressed this philosophy "on love" three years before the publication of *Love in the Time of Cholera* when he addressed the Nobel Academy in Stockholm. On the occasion of accepting the Nobel Prize, Garcia Marquez delivered a speech that argued against the scientific possibility of a nuclear disaster. In closing, Gabriel Garcia Marquez spoke of a new utopia: Where no one will be able to decide for others how they die, where love will prove true and happiness possible, and where the races condemned to One Hundred Years of Solitude will have, at last and forever, a second opportunity on earth. (Garcia Marquez 1988, 91) If the reader fails to see the political turmoil behind the story it is understandable, for once again, as in all of Gabriel Garcia Marquez's writings, *Love in the Time of Cholera* is multilayered and can be read from multiple perspectives, depending on the reader. The art of storytelling is in the foreground, and this time readers of Garcia Marquez will come away feeling they understand the book: it is a love story where reality is all around. It is a novel that is both romantic and realist. ALTERNATIVE READING: FEMINIST THEORY When a reader first hears the term feminist, he or she may immediately think in terms of the status of women. Feminism can be studied from different viewpoints: linguistic, political, economic, sociological, psychological, biological, or other. For some critics from developed nations of the Western world, it is nearly canonical that feminist literary criticism began with the women's movement that followed World War II. For such critics, the two most commonly referenced authors are Simone de Beauvoir and Kate Millet. However, dating the origin of feminism to these two authors seems rather simplistic if the reader realizes that the two books selected by such critics were not published until 1949, for *Le Deuxième Sexe* (The Second Sex) by Beauvoir; and 1970, for *Sexual Politics* by Millet. In The Second Sex, Beauvoir examines how male authors have developed female characters in literary texts. The fight for equal rights for women, in whatever manner, however, goes back much further than 1949. By the turn of the twentieth century there was already a movement for women's suffrage (the right to vote). In fact, the struggle for women's rights may have started as early as the eighteenth century. Indeed, Mary Wollstonecraft published *A Vindication of the Rights of Woman* in 1792. Nowadays, and as early as the late 1960s, those interested in feminist theory approached it as a subject of study in colleges and universities around the world. In a general way, feminist theory aims to accomplish the following:

- To review, expose, and critique those standards where the orientation is patriarchal, whether in literature, politics, civil rights, power, sexuality, race, and other aspects of life;
- To recover texts written by women that have been either forgotten, lost, or neglected;
- To understand the cultural parameters involved in the construction of gender and identity. Many feminist critics, to some degree, continue to be misunderstood in the belief that the issues investigated by feminist theory not only have to be women centered, but also have to be seen from a woman's point of view. This not only excludes women who may look at a text from a viewpoint that disregards gender issues, but also prevents men from doing a feminist reading of any given text. From a pedagogical point of view, feminist theory can be accepted as a method or technique to study a text. As such, the critic questions longstanding, dominant, male ideologies and patriarchal attitudes and interpretations of literature. Is such an approach more or less feminist because a male rather than a female author, are more in touch with reality. They have their feet firmly planted on the ground. They are solid, patient, true. And Garcia Marquez adds, men are creatures of dreams, capable of the most crazy and magnificent actions, but unable to be patient or trustworthy. They are weaklings in the face of adversity. They search for support in women, who are as firm as rocks. This, he concludes, is how the world is in Macondo and elsewhere. Fermina Daza is certainly Florentino's sweetheart, and at the time of their youth, around the mid-1800s, she believes in romance and the power of the written word. To Fermina Daza, Florentino's letters carry more meaning than her own studies, her father, or even the Church. Her world, at the age of seventeen, is interrupted by her interfering father but never completely dominated by him. Garcia Marquez provides her with a voice of her own. It is hard to imagine a young woman of the nineteenth century more independent than Fermina Daza. It is she who ends the relationship between herself and Florentino. She was fully aware when it started, and she calls it off without a tear or a fight, remaining in complete control. To neither of the two loves of her life— Florentino Ariza or Juvenal Urbino—is she an object. At all times she projects herself as a subject. She is a woman who is aware of her roles as mother, wife, friend, and public person. Fermina is stable, strong, confident, and poised. She knows exactly where she has been and where the winds of life are taking her. She fights for what she wants, and against a social world she does not embrace, old and decaying traditions of the noble families, hypocrisy and gossip, Ofelia, her own daughter (to defend Florentino), and her right to love and be loved. Whereas the strength of Fermina is observed throughout the length of the book, the novel opens with the weakness of a male character who kills himself because he cannot endure the prospect of getting old. Jeremiah Saint-Amour, a photographer of children, commits suicide because he is turning sixty years old. Saint-Amour (the name translates into English as Saint of Love) is, without a doubt, the opposite of Fermina. Love in the Time of Cholera contorts the roles of male and female characters that we are used to observing, but it does so without being biased or judgmental. Whereas the reader makes the association of a "Saint of Love" with Jeremiah's last name, Garcia Marquez describes the black Haitian character as a saint but, he adds ironically, "An atheistic saint" (10). Another instance of contorting roles comes with the violence and sexual abuse inflicted on women during rape. Feminism looks at rape as a form of cultural oppression. In rape, women are treated as sex objects. The psychological scars of rape are so deep that most women have difficulty ever seeing themselves as subjects of love again. In *Love in the Time of Cholera*, this situation is inverted. The sexual assault is on a man, Florentino Ariza, whose rape is the result of a plan elaborated by the perpetrator in its smallest detail. As is expected in any rape, Florentino is desperate to know the identity of the violating mistress. It is interesting to note that the object of the rape, a male, feels gratitude. This version of rape, which inverts the structure of oppression in which the victim hates the perpetrator, is also observed in a female character. Leona Cassiani, like Florentino Ariza, is also raped in the novel. The circumstances for them both are similar. The victim is taken by surprise, the clothes are ripped off, and, in a forceful, frenetic fashion, he or she is raped. Florentino Ariza never sees the face of his perpetrator, nor does Leona Cassiani. However, both Florentino and Leona long to see that person again. Leona Cassiani goes on to say that she could recognize him in a crowd of a thousand men because of his shape and size and his way of making love (313). Leona spends years looking for him, not to turn him in to the authorities but to love him. Garcia Marquez not only inverts, but also subverts, the traditional way of looking at rape. The inversion comes from having women rape men, and the subversion by changing the feeling of hate into one of love. Neither Leona Cassiani nor Florentino Ariza develops a form of hatred of the opposite sex, as is expected to occur with rape. In fact, the two of them triumph in their own right and fall in love with each other. Leona, however, is the stronger of the two. While it is true, according to feminist author Simone de Beauvoir, that "all oppression creates a state of war" (717), the war that these two fight is one of self-growth, self-love, and self-respect. In *Love in the Time of Cholera* the female characters are active beings in control of their own lives. There is no need to change from oppressed to oppressor, as some feminists would want, because there is no feeling of inferiority among the women characters. As the tone of the novel is ruled by love, the women do not have to dominate the men in order to defend themselves. There is no room for what Naomi Wolf calls "victim feminism" because there is no hatred within the female characters. In victim feminism, women look at themselves as weak beings, subjugated by men, and therefore must deny and attack the values and truths of what might be considered patriarchal. The women characters of *Love in the Time of Cholera* do not show such a reaction. In achieving economic independence (a basic feminist principle), none of the female characters resorts to victim feminism. Victim feminism, states Naomi Wolf, "depends on influence or persuasion rather than on seeking clout in a straightforward way" (Wolf 136). Tra'nsito Ariza, a single parent and Florentino's mother, manages to single-handedly buy and restore a colonial house, run a small private business, and even lend money to the rich. In addition, she spends time with Florentino, sharing with him her love for reading. The best example of a triumphant woman and the antithesis of victim feminism is Leona Cassiani. She is, without a doubt, a self-made woman. Black, young, and pretty, she is first taken for a prostitute. However, what she wants is employment. The head of personnel at the River Company of the Caribbean, where Florentino works, gives her the lowest-level job, and Leona Cassiani performs that job with seriousness, modesty, and dedication for three years (222). Meanwhile, driven by self-pride and obvious self-assurance, she studies English at home and takes an evening class in typing. This ambition comes from a woman whose only formal education is elementary school and the School of Milinery (where one learns to make hats). Her determination pays off: Leona Cassiani eventually becomes economically independent, a homeowner, socially active, and the personal assistant to Leo XII, president-owner of the River Company of the Caribbean. Florentino Ariza falls in love with her, but the night he declares his love, she answered "it was too late" (207). From that night on, "Florentino Ariza understood at last that it is possible to be a woman's friend and not go to bed with her" (227). This exemplifies the right of exercising complete control of one's sexuality and the right to be heard, two valued aspects of feminist theory. The female characters of *Love in the Time of Cholera* (some more than others) all have a voice of their own and control over their bodies, and they all look at themselves as subjects. Women like Fermina Daza, Leona Cassiani, and the Widow Nazaret are all capable of breaking away from the state of affairs they are in—the social order in which they are born. They manage to overcome inner conflict and even trauma in order to live a life of fulfillment and, better yet, a life where the love they feel rules. Love in the Time of Cholera fares well under a feminist reading because it vindicates the possibilities of women triumphing over the prejudices of age, race, and social class. There are instances of violence against women and women who are voiceless and weak, common traits of patriarchal writing, but those instances are not the focus of the novel; they are peripheral. They confirm the fact that, although both males and females have the possibility to overcome everything and anything before them, there are obstacles that not all can surpass. Just as Jeremiah Saint-Amour committed suicide to keep his promise of never getting old, so does Amé rica Vicuña, who is young and beautiful and leaves no note. However, their deaths seem to reaffirm the thirst for love of Fermina and Florentino. Bibliography America, September 3, 1988: 159. Bell, Michael. "Not Flaubert's Parrot: Love in the Time of Cholera." In Gabriel Garcia Marquez: Solitude and Solidarity. Ed. Michael Bell. Modern Novelists. New York: St. Martin's Press, 1993. 106-26. Bell-Villada, Gene H. "The Novelist of Love." In Garcia Marquez: The Man and His Work. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1990. 86. Choice Journal, September 1988: 26. The Christian Science Monitor, May 12, 1988: 20. Economist, January 1988: 308. Library Journal, March 15, 1988: 113. Me'ndez, José Luis. Co mo lo ve a Garcia Marquez: Una interpretación n socioló gica. 2nd ed. Puerto Rico: Editorial de la Universidad de Puerto Rico, 1992. New York Review of Books, April 28, 1988: 35. New York Times Book Review, April 10, 1988: 1. Newsweek, April 25, 1988: 111. Rodríguez, Carlos R. "El amor y el co'lera en tiempos de Garcia Marquez." In Repertorio cr'itico sobre Gabriel Garcia Marquez. Ed. Juan Custodio Cobo Borda. Vol. 2. Bogotá: Instituto Caro y Cuervo, 1995. 239-44. Simons, Marilee. "García Marquez on Love, Plagues, and Politics." New York Times Book Review, February 21, 1988: 1, 23-25. Time, March 28, 1988: 131. Tratos y Retratos: Relations and Portraits. Dr. Silvia Lemus. Trans. Carla V. Smallwood. Films for the Humanities and Sciences, 1998. Source: Rubén Pelayo – Gabriel García Márquez A Critical Companion (2001, Greenwood) Categories: Latin American Literature, Literature, Novel AnalysisTags: Analysis of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Bibliography of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Character Study of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Criticism of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Essays of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Feminism in Love in the Time of Cholera, Gabriel García Márquez, Latin American Fiction, Latin American Literature, Literary Criticism, Love in the Time of Cholera, Love in the Time of Cholera Analysis, Love in the Time of Cholera Critical Reading, Love in the Time of Cholera Criticism, Love in the Time of Cholera Essay, Love in the Time of Cholera Feminist Criticism, Love in the Time of Cholera Feminist Theory, Love in the Time of Cholera Guide, Love in the Time of Cholera Lecture, Love in the Time of Cholera PDF, Love in the Time of Cholera Summary, Love in the Time of Cholera Themes, Magical Realism, Magical Realism in Gabriel García Márquez's Novels, Notes of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Plot of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Simple Analysis of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Study Guides of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Summary of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Synopsis of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera, Themes of Márquez's Love in the Time of Cholera Ask the publishers to restore access to 500,000+ books.